UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes October 13-14, 1972

10-13-1972

Pages 1-15

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

October 13, 1972

The Board of Regents met on the above date in regular session in

the Center for Religion and Life, 1101 N. Virginia Street, Reno.

Members present: Fred M. Anderson, M. D. (for a portion of the

meeting)

Mr. James H. Bilbray

Mr. Clark Guild, Jr.

Mr. Harold Jacobsen

Mrs. Molly Knudtsen

Louis E. Lombardi, M. D.

Mr. Paul D. Mc Dermott

Mr. William W. Morris

Mr. Mel Steninger

Members absent: Mr. Archie C. Grant

Miss Helen Thompson

Others present: Chancellor Neil D. Humphrey

President N. Edd Miller (UNR)

President Charles R. Donnelly (CCD)

President John M. Ward (DRI)

President R. J. Zorn (UNLV)

Procter Hug, Jr., General Counsel

Vice President Edward L. Pine (UNR)

Vice President Donald H. Baepler (UNLV)

Mr. Herman Westfall (UNLV)

Mr. Howard Olsen (UNR)

Dr. Paul Aizley (UNR)

Dr. Joseph Crowley (UNR)

Dr. Paul Fenske (DRI)

Mr. K. Donald Jessup (UNS)

Mr. Chauncey Oakley (CCD)

Mr. Mike Mason (CSUN)

Mr. Rick Elmore

The meeting was called to order at 9:40 A.M. with Chairman

Jacobsen presiding.

1. Approval of the Minutes

The minutes of the regular session of September 8, 1972 were submitted for approval.

Mr. Mc Dermott moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Guild, carried without dissent.

2. Report of Gifts

Upon motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Guild, the gifts and grants as reported by the Officers were accepted. (Report identified as Ref. 2 and filed with permanent minutes.)

Upon motion by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, five additional items were added to the agenda.

3. Final Graduation List, UNR

President Miller submitted a list of 209 students approved for graduation from UNR August 19, 1972 (list identified as Ref. 2 and filed with permanent minutes).

4. Accreditation by ECPD

President Miller reported that the Engineers' Council for

Professional Development has reaffirmed accreditation of the

Associate Degree programs in Electronics Technology and

Engineering Design Technology.

5. Foreign Travel Report

In accordance with the Board's policy on foreign travel,

President Miller reported the following:

- A. A. L. Lesperance, Associate Professor with the Animal Science Division, College of Agriculture, traveled to Kamloops, Canada, to present research information at Canadian Department of Agriculture and Fish and Game meetings concerning competitive use of range resources and Federal Land Management recommendations. He was gone from September 27 through October 1, 1972.
- B. Berger Nelson, Electrical Engineer, Physical Plant Department, will attend the meeting of the Pacific Coast
 Association of Physical Plant Administrators. The meeting is at Simon Fraser University, British Columbia,
 October 19-20. Presentations will be made on University

electrical systems, safety, waste disposal and space utilization.

6. Approval of Budget Transfers

President Miller submitted a list of budget transfers in excess of \$5,000 and requested approval of the Board (list identified as Ref. 6 and filed in the permanent minutes).

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mr. Bilbray moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Morris, carried without dissent.

7. Request to Establish New Bank Account

President Miller noted the American National Educational
Corporation presently serves as a collection agency for UNR
for repayment of Federally funded loans. It deposits the
funds in the United California Bank, Pasadena, California.
The firm is moving to Chicago and requests that the depository account be moved to American National Bank and Trust
Company of Chicago and the California account closed.

President Miller recommended approval of the request with

the signature authority on the account remaining with Vice

President Edward L. Pine, Controller Henry M. Hattori, and

Deputy Controller Daniel Pease. Chancellor Humphrey

concurred.

Mr. Bilbray moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Morris, carried without dissent.

8. Approval of Purchases in Excess of \$5,000, UNR

A. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened September 26, 1972 for the purchase of janitorial equipment and supplies. The following were low bidders on individual items:

Bidder No. Items Amount

Fuller Brush Company, Stockton 11 \$ 3,294.60

Inland Chemical Company, Reno 10 3,303.88

Paul Koss, San Francisco 2 1,040.49

Colberg Supplies, S. F. 6 704.10

Zep Mfg. Co., Carson City 3 666.25

Fife & Denio, Reno 2 573.94

Johnson Supplies, Sacramento 1 227.70

Zellerbach Co., Reno 1 159.94

Blake, Moffitt & Towne, Reno 2 112.72

38 \$10,083.62

In addition to the above bids, Mr. Pine reported that bids for a rug shampooer had been evaluated and the low bid of Inland Chemical Co., Reno, for \$121.52, was recommended for acceptance.

President Miller recommended award to the low bidders as indicated above, for a total purchase of \$10,205.14.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Mr. Mc Dermott moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Guild, carried without dissent.

B. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened October 4, 1972 for the semi-annual purchase of canned fruits and vegetables for the Dining Commons. The following were low bidders on individual items:

Bidder No. Items Amount

58 \$25,139.05

President Miller recommended award to the low bidders as indicated above for a total purchase of \$25,139.05.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Mr. Guild moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Steninger, carried without dissent.

C. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened October 11, 1972 for the purchase of 16 television video monitors for the School of Medical Sciences.

Bidder Manufacturer Amount

Tel Alpha, Santa Clara Unimedia \$7,786.80

J. H. K. Video Systems, S. F. Sony 8,038.80

Telemation, Salt Lake City Unimedia 8.280.00

President Miller recommended award to Tel Alpha in the amount of \$7,786.80. Funds are available from a National Institutes of Health Grant. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Mr. Bilbray moved approval. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent.

D. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened October 11, 1972 for the purchase of ten record-playback video machines for the School of Medical Sciences. A delivery date prior to December 10, 1972 was specified.

Bidder Mfg. Delivery Amount

General Electric, Oakland Sony 12/01/72\$ 9,600.08

Mc Curry's, Reno Sony 04/16/73 9,600.00

Telemation, Salt Lake City Sony 12/10/72 9,670.00

Audio Graphics, Henderson Panasonic 12/01/72 9,350.00

J. H. K. Video, San Fran. Panasonic 12/10/72 9,553.50

Tel Alpha, Santa Clara Panasonic 12/10/72 10,077.20

Chism School Supplies, Reno Panasonic 12/10/72 10,347.78

President Miller recommended bid of General Electric, in the amount of \$9,600.08 be accepted, noting that the low bid of Mc Curry's was not acceptable because of the April delivery date. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent.

9. Installation of Sprinkler System, Physics Building, UNR

Mr. Pine reported that the State Planning Board opened bids on October 5, 1972 for installation of a sprinkler system at the Physics building site.

Savage & Sons \$ 7,127.00

Western Landscape 9,300.00

George Warren 9,587.00

Angelo Picorilla 10,975.00

President Miller recommended that the Board concur in the award by the State Planning Board of a contract to Savage & Sons in the amount of \$7,127.00 with funds to come from the Higher Education Capital Construction Fund. Chancellor Humphrey concurred in the recommendation.

Mr. Steninger moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent.

President Miller recalled that in February, 1972, the Stead

Dining Commons was authorized to continue operations until

September 1, 1972 with a review of its financial condition

after July 1 for the purpose of determining whether or not
this operation should continue.

President Miller reported that the use of Dining Commons at

Stead has increased as a result of greater use by Continuing

Education, as well as increased enrollment in the Community

College. In the last few months the UNR athletic housing

has moved to Stead, the Washoe County Association for the

Mentally Retarded is moving to the Campus and Washoe County

is planning to open a branch library at Stead.

President Miller recommended that the Dining Commons be authorized to continue during the balance of the 1972-73 fiscal year and that financing be met by utilizing income from cash sales, catering and conferences. He reported that no deficit is projected but requested that if a deficit should occur, it be funded from the Contingency Reserve of Stead Housing. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Mr. Mc Dermott moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr.

Bilbray, carried without dissent.

11. Progress of University Capital Improvement Projects

Vice President Pine submitted a report concerning progress of capital improvement projects under supervision of UNR.

A similar report was distributed from the State Planning

Board for projects under the supervision of that agency.

(Both reports on file in the Chancellor's office.)

12. Baccalaureate Program in Law Enforcement, UNR

President Miller recalled that in July, 1972 UNR was authorized to implement the baccalaureate program in Law Enforcement, effective Fall, 1972, using a man whose salary would be paid by the State of Michigan. It was understood that continuation of the program beyond 1972-73 would be dependent upon State appropriation. Dr. Miller reported that the man from Michigan who was to assist in the Law Enforcement Program has had a health reversal and his services will not be available. UNR has therefore postponed the start of the four-year program and will offer only the two-year program for the present academic year.

13. Approval of Budget Transfers, CCD

President Donnelly submitted a list of budget transfers in excess of \$5,000 and requested approval of the Board (list identified as Ref. 13 and filed in the permanent minutes).

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mr. Mc Dermott moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent.

14. Approval of Lease at ECC

President Donnelly recalled that the Board had previously authorized the lease of a building at Elko, known as Elko Grammar School No. 1, for use by ECC until permanent quarters are available. He recommended extension of the lease at an annual cost of \$25,000 for 1972-73. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Mr. Bilbray moved approval. Motion seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent.

15. Approval of Preliminary Plans, WNCC

President Donnelly reported that presentation of the preliminary plans had been rescheduled for the November meeting.

16. Request for Extension of Service to WNCC Property

President Donnelly recommended that the Board of Regents authorize a request to Carson City to:

- A. Bring the sewer line up to the WNCC property in whatever location is most feasible for Carson City in order that the College may connect to the City sewer system. He noted that two possibilities for this connection exist with one sewer line available at Winnies Lane and El Rancho Drive and a second one on Highway 395 about 3/4 mile east of the northerly portion of the property.
- B. Extend Winnies Lane north or northwest from the point where Foothill Road (Ormsby Blvd.) deadens to the College property line. Winnies Lane now continues from Foothill Road juncture southwest away from the College property. The College wishes the road to go west or northwest to the property line.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Mrs. Knudtsen moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent.

17. Request for Easement, WNCC

President Donnelly reported that an easement is required along the east portion of the WNCC property in Carson City to allow for the extension of utilities to the Campus and for a roadway. The utilities (power, gas, water and telephone services) would be placed in a common trench, requiring a ten-foot easement. The roadway would require a 70' easement.

Mr. Guild moved that appropriate easement be granted to Sierra Pacific Power Company, Bell of Nevada, City of Carson, Southwest Gas, and, if requested, to Carson Cable TV, for the underground extension of utilities to the Campus and for a roadway. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent.

18. Proposal for Special Semesters, CCD

President Donnelly requested that the calendars for the

Community Colleges be modified to permit two new overlapping semesters. For example, he suggested that a semester might start on October 30, 1972 and end January 26, 1973 and a Spring semester might start March 26, 1973 and end June 8, 1972, with the exact dates different in each College. Dr. Donnelly stated that he believed these two special semesters would be of advantage to Community College students and enable the Colleges to make better use of their limited facilities. He pointed out the waiting time between August 28 and January 30, starting dates for the two regular semesters, constitutes a genuine educational disadvantage for many students in that many students are not ready to start classes in August, but would be ready on October 30, and should not have to wait until January 30.

President Donnelly reported that students and faculty at the Colleges have indicated support for these new semesters. He noted that the students in developmental English and Math who have made good progress could enter 101 classes without waiting for two or three months. Also, returning veterans, persons changing jobs, and students who have had to drop classes because of illness, family problems, or job changes could more easily resume their education.

President Donnelly proposed that the special semesters be essentially self supporting, noting that the fixed costs are already there and the major cost would be faculty salaries.

He proposed three methods of staffing the classes:

- Part-time staff paid on the basis of regular part-time schedule (approximately \$450 a course).
- (2) Full-time staff teaching as part of their regular semester load.
- (3) Full-time staff teaching a one-class overload at the Summer Session salary schedule (approximately \$650 a course).

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mr. Steninger moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent.

19. Policy for Student Publications, WNCC

President Donnelly presented, with his endorsement, the following statement of policy for student publications as proposed by the students and faculty of Western Nevada Community College:

Recognizing the fact that Western Nevada Community College is an institution of higher learning designed to serve the communities of Western Nevada served by the College, this newspaper will endeavor to inform, enlighten and entertain the needs of the student and the non-student population. While the faculty is located mostly in the cities of Reno and Carson, the concept of the community will be used in its broadest sense. Thus, all the communities within Western Nevada served by the College will be within the spectrum of the College newspaper. It is not the intent of this publication to supply the latest local and national news, coverage of College and community related subjects are the main goal. Newsworthy events from other Colleges and Universities will be presented. Frequently, special feature articles will be offered to the reader.

A truly educational atmosphere is created when one can see the various sides of a controversial issue or point.

In keeping with this obligation to inform, enlighten and entertain we will uphold the traditions of a free and

unbiased press. Editorial opinion will be appropriately labeled, and a "by-line" will be used when a story carries a reporter's personal opinion.

This publication will be a non-profit self sufficient paper. It will then be necessary to include advertisement as a source of revenue for printing.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mr. Bilbray moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Morris, carried without dissent.

20. Livestock Demonstration Ranch

President Miller recalled that in 1970 the Board authorized the College of Agriculture to "seek, select and eventually purchase a new livestock demonstration ranch". Proceeding under this authority, he reported that Dean Bohmont has located a ranch property which he believes will be satisfactory and has requested authority to enter into negotiations with Mr. George Isaacs for its acquisition. The negotiations will involve a gift and land exchange of the Dearden Ranch property in eastern Nevada for certain un-

developed lands at the Main Station Field Laboratory.

President Miller requested that Dean Bohmont comment further on his proposal as distributed to the Board (proposal is

identified as Ref. 10 and filed with permanent minutes).

Dean Bohmont reviewed the reasons for initiating the search for new property, i.e. the rising value of the Main Station

Farm, making it economically impractical to continue its use for cattle ranching, and the need to replace the Knoll Creek

Station in Northern Nevada. He also reviewed the procedure by the Citizens' Advisory Committee in its search for suitable property and commented on the criteria used in evaluating ranch properties which were investigated.

Mr. Eyer Boies, member of the committee, discussed the Dearden Ranch, recommending that the Board give serious consideration to its acquisition. He stated that he believed, and the committee concluded, that it was impossible to find one single ranch to satisfy all of the criteria against which they have been measuring properties; however, he believed that if it is possible to acquire the Dearden Ranch it will solve a good many of the problems which have been identified.

In the discussion following, Mr. Boies spoke of the need for an additional property in Northern Nevada, if the Dearden Ranch is acquired, and asked that reassurance of this be a condition in the Board's action.

President Miller agreed that the Experiment Station must serve all the ranchers in the State; however, he pointed out that the request before the Board was only for authorization to continue the negotiations with Mr. Isaccs. He suggested that it was premature to attach additional conditions to the Board's action at this stage.

Mrs. Knudtsen asked if Mr. Boies would attach the same conditions to negotiations for property in Northern Nevada; that is, that additional property be acquired in Southern Nevada. He stated that he would.

A number of questions were raised in the discussion following; specifically, liability for taxes for that part of the property located in Utah. It was agreed that additional information would be presented at such time as a recommendation for acquisition is presented.

President Miller and Chancellor Humphrey recommended that

Dean Bohmont be authorized to proceed with negotiations as outlined, with final settlement of any resulting land exchange subject to approval by the Board and concurrence of the Governor.

Mr. Steninger moved the Board of Regents, in recognition of the three point program required to satisfy Agricultural Experiment Station plans, i. e., (1) acquisition of ranch property in Southern Nevada; (2) acquisition of land in Northern Nevada; and (3) relief of the problem in Washoe County (Main Station Farm), authorize Dean Bohmont and his Committee to pursue negotiations along the lines indicated by the Dean and the Advisory Committee and by President Miller. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent.

21. Final Graduation List, UNLV

President Zorn submitted a list of 143 students approved for graduation August 22, 1972. (Report is identified as Ref. 19 and filed with the permanent minutes.) Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

22. Approval of Budget Transfers, UNLV

President Zorn submitted a list of budget transfers in excess of \$5,000 and requested approval of the Board (list identified as Ref. 20 and filed with permanent minutes).

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Dr. Lombardi moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent.

23. Further Action on Land Exchange, UNLV

President Zorn recalled that in September the Board authorized an exchange of land between UNLV Land Foundation and the Roman Cathloic Diocese of Las Vegas. The material submitted and upon which the Board took action contained an error in arithmetic; however, the end result was correctly stated. The 3.0 acres owned by the Diocese which is to be acquired by the University was correctly reported at \$165,000. The .29 acres owned by the Land Foundation is valued at \$16,014 and the 1.5 acres by UNLV is valued at \$82,500 for a combined total of \$98,514. This was incorrectly reported as \$92,512. An additional reimbursement to the Diocese of \$3,000 results in the net due the Diocese of \$69,486. This figure was correctly reported. President

Zorn and Chancellor Humphrey requested that the Board reconfirm its earlier approval of this land transaction with this value of the land parcels correctly recorded.

Mr. Morris moved approval. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi, without dissent.

24. Report of Disciplinary Cases, UNLV

In accordance with the Rules and Disciplinary Procedures for Members of the University Community, a report was submitted for the period June 15 to September 15, 1972 (report is identified as Ref. 22 and filed with permanent minutes).

25. Request for Allocation to Faculty Moving Expense Fund, UNLV

President Zorn recalled that in May, 1972, allocations were made from the Board of Regents Special Projects Fund to bring each of the Division's Faculty Moving Expenses Accounts up to \$3000. He filed a report of disbursement of these funds (identified as Ref. 23 and filed in permanent minutes), noting that UNLV had over expended its account by \$1275.

President Zorn requested \$1275 be allocated to UNLV from the Board of Regents Special Projects Fund to clear this deficit. Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mr. Morris moved approval. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent.

26. Proposed Calendar for 1973

Chancellor Humphrey noted that the Board of Regents policy specifies that the Board "shall meet on a schedule established annually, usually on the second Saturday of each month". In accordance with that policy, he submitted the following schedule of meetings for 1973 as proposed by the officers:

January 12-13 UNLV

February 9-10 UNR

March 9-10 UNLV

April 13-14 UNR

May 11-12 UNLV

June 8-9 UNR

July 13-14 Elko

No meeting in August

September 7-8 UNLV

October 12-13 UNR

November 9-10 UNLV

December 7-8 UNR

Chairman Jacobsen suggested that, as an alternative, consideration be given to meeting on Friday, and if required, Thursday afternoon.

Mr. Morris suggested that consideration be given reducing the length of the agenda, perhaps by removing information items from the agenda.

Action on the adoption of a calendar will be requested at the November meeting.

The meeting adjourned for lunch and a meeting of the Investment

Advisory Committee and reconvened in regular session at 1:35 P.M.

27. Approval of Budget Transfers

Chancellor Humphrey requested approval of transfer #705
which would move \$7000 from the UNS Administration and Press
Contingency Reserve to the Chancellor's budget. Of this

amount, \$3313 will go to operating to replace a like amount which was moved from operating to salaries via contingency, per transfer #701, to provide for salary adjustments not completed when the work program was established. The remaining \$3678 will go to the operating account to meet expenses not anticipated in moving into the new building in January, 1973.

In addition, Chancellor Humphrey reported for information a transfer of \$4,620 form Contingency Reserve to salaries in the Radiological Safety Board to pay salary of 0.50 FTE Radiological Safety Officer for 1972-73, (the other 0.50 FTE being paid by UNR, UNLV and DRI).

Mr. Steninger moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent.

28. Appoval of Purchases in Excess of \$5,000

A. Mr. Niels Anderson, Director, Computing Center,
commented on the Center's plan to change computers in
December. In addition, it is planned to change the
remote batch terminal located at UNLV to provide greater
computing capabilities to UNLV as well as higher com-

munication speeds. Since the communication speed is dependent upon both the terminal and the communication line available to it, the Center has budgeted a second GSA Telpack line between Reno and Las Vegas that will transmit data at 4,800 bits per second as compared to the present 2,400 bits per second.

Bids for equipment to support this communication line were solicited from seven bidders, as follows:

	Lease/Purchase	Lease/Purchase
Manufacture	r One Year	Two Year
Codex	\$7,355.00	\$14,710.00
I. C. C.	5,241.00	10,482.00
American Da	ta Sys. 4,433.00	8,866.00
Penril	4,550.00	9,100.00
IBM	No lease purchase offered	
Astrocom	Not as specified	
Sangamo	5,280.00	10,560.00

Mr. Anderson requested authorization to enter into a two year lease/purchase agreement with American Data Systems for a total cost of \$8,866. Chancellor Humphrey recom-

mended approval.

Dr. Anderson moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Steninger, carried without dissent.

B. Chancellor Humphrey reported that bids were opened

October 11, 1972 for the purchase of data processing

cards and continuous stock forms for the Computing

Center:

Data Processing

Data Documents, Berkeley \$5,085.80

IBM, Reno 5,513.88

Data Processing Continuous Stock Forms

Uarco Business Forms 9,957.62

Control Data Corp., Sac 10,050.62

Moore Business Forms, Reno 10,418.96

Standard Register, Reno 10,516.70

N. C. R., Reno 11,735.62

Data Documents 11,941.35

Chancellor Humphrey recommended the bid of Data

Documents in the amount of \$5,085.80 be accepted for the purchase of data processing cards, and the bid of Uarco

Business Forms in the amount of \$9,957.62 be accepted for the purchase of data processing continuous stock forms.

Mr. Mc Dermott moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Steninger, carried without dissent.

29. Special Recruitment of Chicano Personnel

As noted in the agenda, this matter was scheduled at the request of the Regent Morris that consideration be given to "...providing each Campus with sufficient moneys to send its Administrative personnel to various southwestern University Campuses to more actively and effectively recruit Chicanos."

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the Officers agree with the objective of recruiting minority faculty members and also agree extensive recruiting requires funds for implementation. He noted that the Board had allocated \$5,000 to each Division in May, 1971, from the Board of Regents Special Projects Fund, to be used for interview expenses,

and in May, 1972 brought the accounts back to a balance of \$5,000 for each Division. (This required \$2,800 for CCD, \$2,950 for UNLV, \$2,309 for DRI and \$2,983 for UNR.) An additional \$2,000 was provided to UNR in September, 1972 and UNLV has spent an additional \$6,104 from appropriated funds for this purpose.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that no request for an additional special allocation is advanced at this time; however, in the Spring a request will be made. He stated the Presidents will again emphasize the importance of minority recruiting to their faculties and Administrators.

Mr. Morris commented on his request and his reasons for believing the University has an obligation to more actively recruit Chicanos for the faculty. He suggested this recruitment would be more successful if it were actually conducted at the schools in the southwest where these people are more likely to be available.

Dr. Anderson suggested that if a special allocation of funds is to be made for recruiting of minorities that it not be limited to Chicanos.

Mr. Steninger suggested that it was not possible to discriminate in favor of one minority group without simultaneously discriminating against another minority group. He moved the University adopt a policy that members of the teaching faculty be hired exclusively on the basis of their ability to teach. Motion seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen.

Mr. Mc Dermott commented on the allocation of funds over the past two years for recruiting and expressed objection to special funds for exclusive recruiting within a particular minority group.

Mr. Guild asked if Mr Morris wished to eliminate the word "Chicano" from his request and replace it with another phrase, such as "minority groups".

Mr. Morris suggested that it would be appropriate to ask the Administration to comment on what is being done in the area of recruiting minorities. He noted that Mr. Steninger's motion was still awaiting action, but stated that he believed the motion should not be passed because he feared it would be subject to misinterpretation. Dr. Anderson pointed out he believed the University's goal was to obtain the best possible faculty but adoption of the proposed motion might

be interpreted as being against equal opportunity for minorities.

Mrs. Knudtsen agreed and withdrew her second. No substitute second was offered.

Mr. Bilbray stated that it was his understanding that the UNLV Administration was fully informed about the Chicano's concerns in Las Vegas and that progress was being made.

Dr. Zorn agreed that the Administration is sensitive to the needs of this group of students and progress is being made, and he believed that the Chicano students recognized it. He cited the employment of two professional staff members in student counseling and two faculty members.

Mr. Bilbray asked if there had been problems during the last several months which had come to Mr. Morris' attention. Mr. Morris responded that he did not consider the matter crucial but recalled that there had been a presentation to the Board several months ago at which time several requests had been made on behalf of the Chicano students. No further report has been made to the Board.

(It was agreed that the minutes would be checked to determine what action has been requested.)

Mr. Hug commented on a recent conference of University attorneys at which this matter had been discussed. He noted that each Campus is required to develop affirmative action programs governing the employment of women and other minorities. Mr. Hug stated that under these programs the University will be required to show what goals it has in this area and prove that it has broadened its recruiting to reach into areas where women or minority groups are likely to be found.

Further discussion was deferred until a record of the minutes could be obtained.

30. Provisional Graduate Standing

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in May, 1970, the Board approved a recommendation by the UNR Graduate Council for establishment of a "Provisional Standing" category for Master's programs. In April, 1971, the Board approved a similar policy as recommended by the UNLV Graduate Council. (President policy statements for each Campus contained in Ref. 30 and filed with permanent minutes.)

Chancellor Humphrey noted although the policy is similar at both Campuses, it is administered differently. At UNLV, students with less than a 2.5 undergraduate GPA are admitted to provisional standing by the Graduate Dean. At UNR, the Graduate Dean refers all requests for admission to provisional standing to the Graduate Council and then takes whatever action is proposed by the group.

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that this problem was explored by the Board's Educational Policies Committee in July, 1972, at which time the matter was referred to the Board and its Presidents. He noted that problems with provisional standing have caused the University a great deal of trouble both internally and from a public relations standpoint. He recommended that the policy governing provisional graduate standing be uniform for both Campuses and submitted the following proposal revision for consideration and, if found to be basically acceptable, final approval in December:

A non-resident student who has been denied admission to Graduate Standing because of an overall undergraduate grade point average of less than 2.50 (on a 4.00 scale) may be admitted to Provisional Graduate Standing upon

Dean of the College and approval of the Graduate Dean.

A Nevada resident student who is a graduate of an accredited institution of higher education who is denied admission to Graduate standing because of an overall undergraduate grade point average of less than 2.50 shall be admitted by the Department Chairman and the Dean of the College.

the recommendation of the Department Chairman and the

Provisional Graduate Standing shall be changed to
Graduate Standing upon successful completion of one
semester of full-time graduate study with a minimum of
nine semester credits approved by the Department Chairman, the Dean of the College and the Graduate Dean.
Nine credits of full-time graduate study in a single
Summer may be regarded as equivalent to one semester.

A student may not remain in Provisional Graduate

Standing for more than one semester. It is the student's responsibility at the end of the semester to

file for a change of status with the Graduate Dean.

Change of status must be effected prior to the close of the late registration period. Should the change in status not be effected, the result will be denial of

admission to Graduate Standing through the provisional route. The GRE or ATGSB route shall, however, remain open to the student.

In adopting this policy it is the intent of the Board of
Regents to provide any Nevada resident who is a graduate
of an accredited institution of higher education an
opportunity to prove his ability to succeed in graduate
school without necessity of leaving Nevada.

President Miller endorsed the proposed revision, noting it provides opportunity for the 3 Administrators most closely involved to have a voice in admission to graduate work; i. e., the Department Chairman, Dean of the College and Dean of Graduate School. He also endorsed the recommendation that this not receive final action until December in order to allow for further review and discussion on the Campus.

President Zorn commented UNLV is presently going through a transition stage, moving toward implementation of a Graduate College, and it was, therefore, considered desirable that this matter be referred to the Academic Vice President for discussion with the Graduate Dean and the Graduate Faculty.

Vice President Baepler reported the organization at UNLV is different from that at UNR, noting that the College Deans have been removed from any consideration of graduate programs, problems and personnel and are now strictly Deans in the undergraduate baccalaureate level degree programs.

In response to a question from the Chancellor concerning the status of this reorganization, Dr. Baepler stated that it is an accomplished fact at this point. He noted that the Graduate Dean is now in the process of working with the faculty to determine what the Graduate Faculty is. The Graduate Council will be dissolved and will be replaced by the same kind of committee, academic standards committee, etc., but all operating at the graduate level. It may be, he suggested, that UNLV would want the graduate admissions committee to look at proposed graduate students with the Graduate Dean, rather than with the undergraduate Dean who has been removed form the entire program.

Mr. Jacobsen suggested that the most important part of the policy would appear to be the last paragraph of the Chancellor's proposal. Chancellor Humphrey agreed, noting that the proposal was developed under the assumption that UNR

and UNLV were similar enough to follow one policy, that is, to guarantee that a Nevada resident will have an opportunity to prove himself within one semester of provisional standing. The point to be examined by UNLV would be the way in which the concept would by implemented, noting that the proposed revision is basically patterned after the present policy governing provisional graduate standing at UNLV, which has not been changed by the Board of Regents.

Mr. Guild expressed concern the proposed policy provides that a student may be admitted to provisional standing upon the recommendations of specified persons but does not provide any standards by which such a recommendation may be withheld.

Dr. Baepler stated that, in his opinion, this proposal would establish an open door admissions policy to graduate school for Nevada residents, therefore, the internal mechanism for determining who will make the recommendation is unimportant.

Chancellor Humphrey suggested that perhaps it would be helpful to comment further on the reason for the recommendation
for a revision to the present policy. He stated that he
believed the Board's intent to be that residents of the

State who wished to do graduate work be given an opportunity to prove themselves in one semester or not continue. It seemed impractical to him, he stated, to permit their admission without the recommendation of the Department in which they would be working since that would certainly restrict their chances of success. However, if a Department is willing to take such a student on a provisional basis, and the Dean of the College approved, the student should be allowed to attempt the work. He noted the problem arises where the Department is willing to take the student but has not been allowed to by the Graduate Council. Legislators are frequently contacted and some Legislators are becoming annoyed about the manner in which this has been handled to the point where they are ready to attempt to impose a change Chancellor Humphrey pointed out that such action taken by the Legislature would be very difficult but suggested that it is the University's responsibility to resolve these problems before the Legislature makes such an attempt.

Dr. Anderson spoke in favor of the recommendation, pointing out there are many discretionary factors involved in the granting of provisional standing, and he believed the people who have been specified as the recommending individuals are the ones most likely to be able to make the correct value

judgment.

Mr. Steninger moved that the general policy be adopted as stated with the understanding that the mechanics of implementation may be different between the two Campuses. Motion seconded by Mr. Anderson.

It was agreed the intent of Mr. Steninger's motion was a general endorsement of the policy but with a requirement the specific wording be submitted to the Board in December.

At Mr. Guild's request, it was agreed also that the

Presidents would be instructed to develop statements of

standards to which the Department and Dean involved would

adhere in considering applicants for admission to provi
sional standing and that such statements will be submitted

to the Board in December.

Following further discussion and restatement of the motion as offered by Mr. Steninger, Mr. Guild stated he would have to vote no on the motion until both standards and mechanics of implementation are submitted to the Board.

Motion carried with Mr. Guild opposing.

Discussion resumed on #29, Special Recruitment of Chicano Personnel.

Mr. Jacobsen read the following excerpt from the March 10, 1972 minutes of the Board of Regents:

President Zorn introduced Mr. Louis Paez, President, La
Raza, and other members of his organization and of Nevada
Association of Latin Americans. Mr. Paez presented four
areas of concern to the two organizations, requesting the
University's assistance in accomplishing the following:

- Recruitment and hiring of Chicano Instructors, Counselors and Administrators.
- Acquisition of necessary Chicano library and audio visual materials.
- Recruiting and providing incentive for Chicano high school students.
- Provision of scholarships and/or financial aid for Chicano students.

In his presentation, Mr. Paez commented on efforts of the members of his organization in these areas but pointed out that they need and desire more help from the University.

In accordance with the Board's policy on foreign travel,

President Zorn stated that discussions have been held concerning the needs of the Chicanos, as well as other ethnic groups, and noted that some positive steps have been taken.

Other areas of concern have been identified and cooperative efforts are continuing toward further resolution.

- - -

Mr. Morris suggested the discussion had been adequate to insure that this matter had been called to the attention of both Campuses. He noted assurance by the Chancellor that a request for a special allocation would come forward in the Spring. He said he appreciated the full discussion his request had received.

It appeared to be the consensus of the Board that it would be desirable to have at the November meeting a report showing what has actually been done at the Campuses in the area of recruitment of minorities and results of these efforts.

Mr. Bilbray moved that the matter be tabled until November.

Motion seconded by Mr. Mc Dermott, carried without dissent.

Revised Enrollment Projections for 1973-75 and Resulting
 Revision of Operating Budget Request

Chancellor Humphrey noted that the actual Fall, 1972 enroll-ments are sufficiently different from the estimated enroll-ments for Fall, 1972, upon which the additional enrollment projections for Fall, 1973 and Fall, 1974 were based, it has been necessary to revise those projections. Since the Instruction and Departmental Research areas of UNR, UNLV and CCD budgets are based upon the number of FTE students, any significant revision in enrollment projections necessitates a revision in the budget request.

Mr. Don Jessup, Director of Institutional Studies and Budget, presented information concerning the new projections and resulting budget revision, as follows:

Table No. 1 below compares the Fall, 1971 enrollment with the Fall, 1972 actual enrollment and the projection included

in the biennial budget request.

Table No. 1

Fall, 1971 Fall, 1972 Actual Change in FTE **HRS** FTE **HRS** Enrollment FTE Enrollment Enrollment Enrollment Div (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)

UNR 7,053 6,500 6,652 5,798 - 522 - 8.0

UNLV 4,950 4,295 5,183 4,465 170 4.0

CCC 163 675 512 314.1

ECC 208 140 - 68 - 32.7

WNCC 210 509 299 142.4

Tot CCD 581 1,324 743 127.9

Sys 11,376 11,767 391 3.4

Differences Between

Fall, 1972 Projection Actual & Projected

HRS FTE Enrollment

Enrollment Enrollment	#	#

Mr. Jessup noted that the FTE enrollment at UNLV was not available at the time the above table was compiled, but the number shown in Column 4 of table No. 1 for that institution was estimated by multiplying the known number of headcount regular students (HRS) by the two year historical ratio of FTE to HRS students which is .862.

Table No. 2 below shows the revised projection for the biennium. The UNR projection was compiled by adding the new information to the historical data and applying the enrollment projection formula which has been in use since 1967
to the result. In addition, an estimated number of transfer
students from WNCC and ECC have been included in the upper
division beginning in 1973-74. This number is based on
the number of FTE students currently enrolled in the College
parallel programs at these two institutions and the existing
progression rates at UNR.

Table No. 2

FTE Students, 1973-74

	Budget	Revised	Difference	ce Budget
	Projection	Projection	#	# Projection
Div	(1)	(2) (3)	(4)	(5)
UNR	7,125	6,290	-835	-11.7 7,360
UNLV	5.050	4.980	- 70	- 1.4 5,280
ccc	900	1,100	200	22.2 1,200
ECC	240	175	- 65	-27.1 250
WNC	C 400	800	400	100.0 510

Tot CCD	1.540	2.075	535	34.7	1,960

FTE Students, 1974-75

Revised Difference

Projection # #

Div (6) (7) (8)

UNR 6,405 -955 -13.0

UNLV 5,280 - -

CCCC 1,470 270 22.5

ECC 200 - 50 -20.0

WNCC 1,100 590 115.7

Tot CCD 2,770 810 41.3

System 14,455 -145 -1.0

Mr. Jessup explained that the Fall, 1972 enrollment information for UNLV which is available at this time is the total number of headcount regular students (HRS) enrolled.

The actual number of FTE students by level, the progression rates of Nevada high school graduates to UNLV, the composition of the Freshman class and the HRS enrollment by classification are not available. The projection shown in table 2 was, therefore, compiled by applying the percentage difference which exists between the FTE projection for Fall, 1972 which was completed in December, 1971 and the current estimation of the FTE enrollment, as explained above, to the FTE enrollment, to the projection which appears in the budget request. An estimated number of transfer students from CCCC was included in the upper division projection beginning in 1973-74. As soon as the detail of the actual Fall, 1972 enrollment is available, the projection will again be revised if necessary.

Table No. 3 contains the recommended modification of the budget request based on the revised enrollment projections in table No. 2. Amounts were calculated by multiplying the anticipated changes in FTE enrollment times the cost of instruction per FTE student included in the biennial budget request. This cost is shown in columns 2 and 6 of the table and does not include the cost of Operation and Maintenance of Physical Plant and part of the cost of the Library. It

same. Column 9 shows the revised biennial budget request which results from these changes. The total request becomes \$79,914,870 which is \$1,326,485 or 1.6% less than was previously approved by the Board of Regents. The revised request amounts to a 49.4% increase over the amount work programmed for the 1971-73 biennium.

Table No. 3

Revision of 1973-75 Budget

1973-74				1974-75					
	Change				Change				
	in	FTE	Cost	Cha	inge Ir	1	Revised	l in	FTE
	Εı	nroll.	Per	Budg	et	Ві	udget	Enro	oll.
	Pı	oject	FTE	Red	luest		request	Pro	oject
Div	′	(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)		
UN	IR	-835	1,71	3 -\$	1,430,	355	\$15,50	03,60	9 -955
UN	ILV	′ - 70	1,64	8 -	115,3	60	10,720	,110	-
CC	С	200	1,43	s 5	287,0	000	2,027,8	331	270

ECC - 65 1,396 - 90,740 441,050 - 50

WNCC 400 1,385 554,000 1,372,986 590

Adm 200,507

535 750,260 4,042,374 810

Cost

Per Change In Revised Revised

FTE Budget Biennium

Div (6) (7) (8) (9)

UNR 1,822 -1,740,010 \$16,861,156 \$32,364,765

UNLV 1,723 - 11,895,013 22,615,123

CCC 1,545 417,250 2,756,099 4,783,930

ECC 1,474 - 73,700 517,886 958,936

WNCC 1,467 865,530 1,912,008 3,284,994

Adm 218,595

1973-74 1974-75

Change Change

in FTE Cost Change In Revised in FTE

Enroll. Per Budget Budget Enroll.

Project FTE Request request Project



Instructional

Programs 6,167,986

Per	Change In	Revised	t	Revised
FTE	Budget	Budget		Biennium
(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	

Instructional \$ 1,481,850 \$ 2,814,550

Total System \$42,148,091 \$79,914,870

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the Board authorize a revision of the 1973-75 budget request as outlined above.

Mr. Steninger moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Guild, carried without dissent.

32. Retention of Leased Facilities, CCCC

President Donnelly presented a letter from Mr. Bert J.

Leavitt, addressed to Executive Vice President Stephen

Nicholson, CCCC, which urged that the facilities presently

leased by Clark County Community College be retained beyond
the time when construction of the Campus in North Las Vegas
has been accomplished.

Dr. Donnelly recommended that a study be conducted in relation to Mr. Leavitt's recommendation and that a report be brought back to the Board at its next meeting.

Mr. Morris moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Steninger, carried without dissent.

33. Capital Improvement Program Request, 1973-75

Chancellor Humphrey reported that information has been received from the State Planning Board which indicates they will tentatively recommend that the University's request for a 4-year commitment of the Higher Education Capital Construction funds be denied; that five University projects totaling \$11,190,000 be included in a priority list of State projects. (Letter from State Planning Board dated September 29, 1972 filed with permanent minutes.)

Chancellor Humphrey noted that this matter had been reviewed by the Chancellor's Advisory Cabinet and alternatives were considered. The recommendation of the Advisory Cabinet is that the Board reaffirm its Capital Improvement program request, as approved in June, 1972, and the Administration return to the State Planning Board to seek support of the commitment of HECF funds for a four-year period.

Mr. Morris moved approval. Motion seconded by Mr. Steninger, carried without dissent.

34. New Business

- A. Mr. Morris moved that a letter be addressed to the

 Legislative Counsel stating that it is the desire of

 the University to be heard in the event legislation is

 introduced which would increase the in-state preference

 presently permitted by State law. Motion seconded by

 Mr. Steninger, carried without dissent.
- B. Mrs. Knudtson expressed concern that the 1972 Artemisia was being used in the high school recruiting programs and questioned the wisdom of such distribution in light of the adverse manner in which this publication was

received by the community.

35. Dues Withholding for National Society of Professors

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that at the September meeting the Officers had recommended that a voluntary dues withholding system be allowed for the National Society of professors, thereby extending to this organization the same privilege previously granted administratively to the State of Nevada Employees Association and the American Association of University professors. The Officers further recommended all such authorizations expire June 30, 1973 and the matter be subject to a review during the Spring of 1973. Chancellor Humphrey reviewed the action of the Board at the September meeting, noting that a motion to terminate all dues withholding privileges immediately was tabled until October "... to allow for opportunity for faculty to make presentation in support of their request." Counsel was requested to determine the legality of dues withholding and the Administration was requested to estimate the annual cost of providing this service.

Mr. Hug's response was distributed with the agenda (identified as Ref. 29 and filed with permanent minutes). Mr.

Hug's opinion, in brief, was that "the allowance of with-holding for dues to these two organizations is discretionary with the Board of Regents. It is a matter of policy for the Board to determine whether to allow voluntary with-holding of dues for organizations whose objectives are, in part, to serve as bargaining agents for faculty or employees."

President Zorn reported that 65 classified employees at UNLV have dues for SNEA withheld and estimates the annual cost of not more than \$200. Dues withholding for AAUP is not utilized at UNLV.

President Miller reported that 182 classified employees at

UNR have dues for SNEA withheld and 27 faculty have dues for

AAUP withheld. He estimated the cost at \$370 annually.

The Presidents and Chancellor Humphrey reaffirmed their recommendation that the Administration be granted authority to withhold dues for members of the National Society of Professors who so request and that dues withholding authorizations for SNEA, AAUP and NSP expire June 30, 1973.

President Miller noted that representatives of the three

organizations were present and introduced the following who spoke in support of the dues withholding:

Robert J. Gagnier, Executive Director, SNEA

Frank Thomas, President, Staff Employees Council, UNR

Pat Fladagher, Campus Clerical Council, UNR

Hugh Mozingo & William Raggio, speaking on behalf of NSP

Anne Howard, President, AAUP, UNR Chapter

Mr. Bilbray moved approval of the Officers' recommendation.

Motion seconded by Mr. Guild.

Mr. Morris moved to amend to continue withholding for those organizations presently approved, but action on request from NSP be postponed until after the 1973 Legislature has adjourned. Motion to amend seconded by Mr. Steninger. Motion to amend failed.

Mr. Morris moved to table. Motion to table seconded by Mr. Steninger. Motion to table failed.

It was called to the Chair's attention that a motion to terminate all dues withholding privileges immediately was tabled at the September meeting and it was appropriate to

now remove it from the table. The Chair declared the earlier motion out of order and removed the September motion from the table. That motion, made by Mr. Steninger and seconded by Mr. Morris to terminate all dues check-off privileges immediately, failed by five negative and three affirmative votes.

Mr. Bilbray moved that Officers' recommendation be approved.

Motion seconded by Mr. Guild.

Mr. Morris moved to amend to add stipulation that recommendation does not conflict with opinion from Counsel. Motion to amend seconded by Mr. Steninger. Motion to amend failed.

Main motion carried with six affirmative and two negative votes.

Meeting adjourned at 4:45 P.M.

Mrs. Bonnie M. Smotony

Secretary to the Board

10-13-1972